AN ALTERNATIVE APPROACH TO EQUIVARIANT STABLE HOMOTOPY THEORY

MARK HOVEY AND DAVID WHITE

ABSTRACT. We develop the basics of equivariant stable homotopy theory starting from the simple idea that a G-spectrum should just be a spectrum with an action of G on it, in contrast to the usual approach in which the definition of a G-spectrum depends on a choice of universe. We use this framework to establish new model structures for equivariant stable homotopy theory, to prove that these model structures satisfy several useful properties, and to transfer these properties to other known model structures for G-spectra.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the standard approach to equivariant stable homotopy theory, pioneered by Lewis, May, and Steinberger in [LMSM86] and reaching the current state of the art in the work of Mandell and May [MM02], the definition of a *G*-spectrum for a compact Lie group *G* depends on a choice of *G*-universe \mathcal{U} ; that is, on a set of orthogonal *G*-representations closed under finite direct sums and summands, containing the trivial one-dimensional representation. We convert the universe into a category enriched over pointed *G*-spaces by defining $\mathcal{U}(V, W)$ to be the space of orthogonal isomorphisms $O(V, W)_+$ with *G* acting by conjugation. A \mathcal{U} -space is then an enriched functor from $X: \mathcal{U} \to G$ Top_{*} to the category of based *G*-spaces. The sphere *S* is a \mathcal{U} -space, where $S(V) = S^V$, the one-point compactification of *V*. A *G* \mathcal{U} -spectrum *X* is then an external module over *S*, in the sense that we have an associative and unital natural transformation of functors $\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U} \to G$ Top_{*} from $S(V) \wedge X(W)$ to $X(V \oplus W)$. Then one defines homotopy groups $\pi_q^H(X)$ for each closed subgroup *H* of *G* and for all integers *q*, and declares the homotopy isomorphisms to be the weak equivalences.

Historically, the universe has been thought to be central to the definition of a *G*-spectrum. For example, a $G\mathcal{U}$ -spectrum where \mathcal{U} consists of the trivial *G*-representations has been called a "naive" *G*-spectrum, whereas a $G\mathcal{U}$ -spectrum based on a complete *G*-universe \mathcal{U} , in which every finite-dimensional orthogonal *G*-representation occurs, has been called a "genuine" *G*-spectrum.

In this paper we show that we can think of a universe as a Quillen model structure on the category of naive *G*-spectra. That is:

(1) For us, a *G*-spectrum is always an orthogonal spectrum *X* with a continuous action of *G* on it, so a set of based $G \times O(n)$ -spaces X_n with associative unital $G \times O(p) \times O(q)$ -structure maps

$$S^p \wedge X_q \to X_{p+q}.$$

(2) Given a *G*-spectrum *X* and an orthogonal *G*-representation *V* of dimension *n*, there is a *G*-space $Ev_V(X) = X(V)$ defined by $X(V) = X_n$ as an $O(n) \cong O(V)$ -space, with

G-action

$$g \cdot x = \rho(g)(gx) = g(\rho(g)x)$$

where $\rho: G \to O(n)$ corresponds to V. The functor Ev_V from G-spectra to based G-spaces has a left adjoint F_V . The structure maps of X then make X into a GU-spectrum for any universe \mathcal{U} . Thus the category of naive G-spectra is equivalent to the category of $G\mathcal{U}$ -spectra for any G-universe \mathcal{U} .

- (3) Given a *G*-universe \mathcal{U} , there is a symmetric monoidal \mathcal{U} -level model structure on *G*-spectra, in which a map *f* is a weak equivalence or fibration if and only if f(V) is a weak equivalence or fibration of *G*-spaces for all $V \in \mathcal{U}$.
- (4) One can then form the smallest symmetric monoidal Bousfield localization of the \mathcal{U} -level model structure with respect to the maps

$$S^V \wedge F_V S^0 = F_V S^V \rightarrow S = F_0 S^0$$

for $V \in \mathcal{U}$, where this map is adjoint to the identity map $S^V \to \operatorname{Ev}_V F_0 S^0 = S^V$. In the homotopy category of this Bousfield localization, smashing with S^V for $V \in \mathcal{U}$ is an equivalence with inverse given by smashing with $F_V S^0$. The resulting model category structure coincides with the stable model structure on $G\mathcal{U}$ -spectra of [MM02] under the equivalence between *G*-spectra and $G\mathcal{U}$ -spectra.

After a review of terminology in Section 2, we carry through the plan discussed above. We establish the \mathcal{U} -level model structure in Section 3, then the \mathcal{U} -stable model structure in Section 4. In Section 5 we prove that the \mathcal{U} -stable model structure coincides with the stable model structure on $G\mathcal{U}$ -spectra of [MM02]. This allows us, in Corollary 5.4, to deduce several desirable model categorical properties for the \mathcal{U} -model structure. It also allows us to deduce that the stable Mandell-May model structure on $G\mathcal{U}$ -spectra is left proper and cellular, an observation that has not been made elsewhere, but is crucial for the existence of left Bousfield localization [Hir03]. We conclude Section 5 by going beyond [MM02], and using the framework of the \mathcal{U} -model structure to prove several new model categorical properties for G-spectra. Our approach also extends [MM02], by requiring fewer assumptions on \mathcal{U} . Throughout, we attempt to clarify the role of the universe in equivariant stable homotopy theory. We demonstrate the power of our approach by verifying, in Section 5 that our model structure is left proper and cellular, hence admits left Bousfield localization [Hir03]. This implies that the model structure of [MM02] is cellular, a fact that has not appeared elsewhere before.

We stress that the general idea of this paper has been known to the experts for a long time, but has not previously been recorded using our framework of model categories. In Elmendorf and May's 1997 paper [EM97], they showed that equivariant *S*-modules are independent of the universe up to equivalence, and state that different universes should correspond to different model category structures. In Section V.I of [MM02], Mandell and May show if \mathcal{U}' is a subuniverse of \mathcal{U} , then the category of $G\mathcal{U}$ -spectra is equivalent to the category of $G\mathcal{U}$ -spectra, and they describe the model structure on $G\mathcal{U}$ -spectra for a complete universe \mathcal{U} that corresponds to a subuniverse \mathcal{U}' . Our approach is the reverse to theirs, as we start with the trivial universe; we acknowledge a debt to Neil Strickland, who told the first author such an approach was possible sometime around the year 2000.

Stolz's thesis [Sto] contains a very similar approach to ours (see also [BDS]), the primary difference being that we use Bousfield localization instead of stable homotopy isomorphisms, and the secondary difference being that Stolz prefers to use the more abstruse definition of a *G*-orthogonal spectrum as a certain kind of *G*-functor. We discuss the connection to Stolz's work in Section 5, where we also verify several model categorical properties not considered by Stolz. Subsequent to our paper's appearance on arxiv in 2013, the appendix to [HHR16] introduced the positive complete stable model structure on *G*-spectra, using the framework of universes from [MM02]. A presentation of this model structure is Quillen equivalent to the positive stable model structure, and hence to the stable model structure.

The results of the present paper have already been used several times. For instance, the second author needed these results in a number of papers [BW], [GW18], [Whi14a], [Whi], [Whi14], [WY18], [WY], [WY19]. These papers work out the homotopy theory of commutative equivariant ring spectra, left and right Bousfield localization for equivariant spectra, rectification for *G*-operads, and the connection between localization and the N_{∞} -operads of [BH15]. The second author intends in the future to use the framework of the present paper to conduct a comparison of universe model structures with family model structures, and thereby to gain a better understanding of the role of the universe as regards N_{∞} -operads.

2. G-spaces and G-spectra

We first fix notation. A topological space is a compactly generated, weak Hausdorff space, and all constructions, such as limits and colimits, are carried out in this bicomplete closed symmetric monoidal category Top or its pointed analogue Top_{*}. The symbol *G* will always denote a compact Lie group. A *G*-space is a space with a continuous left action of *G*, and a based *G*-space is a *G*-space with a distinguished basepoint that is fixed by the action of *G*. The category Top_G of *G*-spaces and nonequivariant maps is closed symmetric monoidal, where we use the diagonal action of *G* on $X \times Y$, and the conjugation action of *G* on the (non-equivariant) mapping space Map(*X*, *Y*). That is, $(g \cdot f)(x) = g \cdot f(g^{-1} \cdot x)$. The category *G* Top of *G*-spaces and equivariant maps is also closed symmetric monoidal, as a subcategory of *G*-spaces and nonequivariant maps. The category *G* Top is enriched, tensored, and cotensored over Top via the symmetric monoidal left adjoint that takes $X \in$ Top to *X* with the trivial *G*-action. The enrichment over Top is then given by the subspace Map_G(*X*, *Y*) of equivariant maps.

Given a *G*-space *X* and a closed subgroup *H* of *G*, we can consider the fixed points X^H . This is a functor from *G* Top to Top with left adjoint the functor that takes *Y* to $G/H \times Y$. (Note that the reason to assume that *H* is closed is so that the usual definition of G/H, as cosets of *H* with the quotient topology, is weak Hausdorff—if *H* were not closed then we would have to take the closure anyway to stay in weak Hausdorff spaces).

The category *G* Top and its pointed analogue *G* Top_{*} are proper, cellular, topological, symmetric monoidal model categories. For the standard notions of model category theory, see [Hov99] or [Hir03]. A map *f* in *G* Top_{*} is a weak equivalence (resp. fibration) if and only if f^H is a weak equivalence (resp. fibration) in Top_{*} for every closed subgroup *H*. The generating cofibrations are the maps (given by the usual inclusion $S^{n-1} \rightarrow D^n$)

$$(G/H)_+ \wedge S^{n-1}_+ \to (G/H)_+ \wedge D^n_+$$

for all $n \ge 0$ (where S^{-1} is the empty set) and for all closed subgroups H of G. The generating trivial cofibrations are the maps (embeddings given by the boundary points of D^1)

$$(G/H)_+ \wedge D^n_+ \to (G/H)_+ \wedge D^n_+ \wedge D^1_+$$

for $n \ge 0$ and for all closed subgroups *H* of *G*.

We note that there are in fact many different model structures on $G \operatorname{Top}_*$, one for each collection of closed subgroups of G, and also that G need not be compact Lie for this to work. However, there are some subtleties with the symmetric monoidal structure when one works with a general collection of closed subgroups. Fausk [Fau08] has an excellent treatment of these issues.

We can now define a *G*-spectrum. Throughout this paper, O(n) denotes the orthogonal group of $n \times n$ orthogonal real matrices.

Definition 2.1. For a compact Lie group *G*, a *G*-spectrum *X* is a sequence of pointed $G \times O(n)$ -spaces X_n for $n \ge 0$, together with $G \times O(p) \times O(q)$ -equivariant structure maps

$$\nu_{p,q}: S^p \wedge X_q \to X_{p+q}$$

that are associative and unital. Here S^p is the one-point compactification of \mathbb{R}^p , so inherits an $G \times O(p)$ -action where G acts trivially and the point at infinity is the fixed basepoint. The unital condition is simply that $v_{0,q}$ is the identity. The associative condition is that the composite

$$S^{p} \wedge S^{q} \wedge X_{r} \xrightarrow{1 \wedge v_{q,r}} S^{p} \wedge X_{q+r} \xrightarrow{v_{p,q+r}} X_{p+q+r}$$

is equal to the composite

$$S^p \wedge S^q \wedge X_r \xrightarrow{\mu_{p,q} \wedge 1} S^{p+q} \wedge X_r \xrightarrow{\nu_{p+q,r}} X_{p+q+r},$$

where $\mu_{p,q}$ is the isomorphism induced by the standard isomorphism $\mathbb{R}^p \oplus \mathbb{R}^q \cong \mathbb{R}^{p+q}$. We will denote the category of *G*-spectra by *G*-Sp^{*O*}, where a map of *G*-spectra $f: X \to Y$ is a collection of $G \times O(n)$ -equivariant maps $f_n: X_n \to Y_n$ that are compatible with the structure maps.

If G = *, a *G*-spectrum is just an orthogonal spectrum [MMSS01], and a *G*-spectrum for general *G* is just an orthogonal spectrum with an action of *G* on it. As such, the category of *G*-spectra is closed symmetric monoidal. The easiest way to see this is to note that a *G*-spectrum is an *S*-module in the category of *G*-orthogonal sequences, and *S* is a commutative monoid in this closed symmetric monoidal category. Here a *G*-orthogonal sequence *X* is a collection of pointed $G \times O(n)$ -spaces X_n for $n \ge 0$. The category of *G*-orthogonal sequences is closed symmetric monoidal where

$$(X \otimes Y)_n = \bigvee_{p+q=n} O(n)_+ \wedge_{O(p) \times O(q)} (X_p \wedge Y_q)$$

with diagonal G-action. The closed structure is given by

$$\operatorname{Hom}(X, Y)_n = \prod_{m \ge n} \operatorname{Map}_{O(m-n)}(X_{m-n}, Y_m)$$

with O(n) acting on a map by acting on the target Y_m using the inclusion

$$O(n) \subseteq O(m-n) \times O(n) \to O(m).$$

Note that the maps in $Hom(X, Y)_n$ are not *G*-equivariant, so *G* can act by conjugation as usual. However, just as with *G*-spaces, *G*-orthogonal sequences are enriched over (pointed) topological spaces, and the enrichment is given by

$$\operatorname{Map}(X, Y) = \prod_{n} \operatorname{Map}_{G \times O(n)}(X_{n}, Y_{n})$$

Now, *S* is the *G*-orthogonal sequence whose *n*th space is S^n , the one-point compactification of \mathbb{R}^n with induced pointed orthogonal action and trivial *G*-action. This is a monoid using the $G \times O(p) \times O(q)$ -equivariant isomorphisms

$$S^p \wedge S^q \to S^{p+q}.$$

It is a commutative monoid because the commutativity isomorphism of the symmetric monoidal structure on *G*-orthogonal spectra involves a (p, q)-shuffle, just as with symmetric spectra [HSS00]. The only thing this requires is that the (p, q)-shuffle be given by an element of O(p + q), which it of course is (since Σ_{p+q} embeds into O(p + q) as the permutation matrices).

It is then clear that *G*-spectra are *S*-modules, and so inherit a closed symmetric monoidal structure. The category of *G*-spectra is also enriched over topological spaces, where $\operatorname{Map}_{G-\operatorname{Sp}^O}(X, Y)$ is the subspace of $\operatorname{Map}(X, Y)$ consisting of maps of orthogonal spectra.

3. Level structures

A *G*-spectrum has levels X_n for each integer *n*, and X_n is a $G \times O(n)$ -space. This is sufficient data to obtain *G*-spaces X(V) for all finite dimensional real orthogonal *G*-representations *V*. For an *n*-dimensional representation *V*, we can choose a linear isometry $V \cong \mathbb{R}^n$, and hence an isomorphism $O(V) \cong O(n)$. The resulting $\rho: G \to O(V) \cong O(n)$ can be used to twist the *G*-action on X_n to obtain a new *G*-space X(V) with $X(V) = X_n$ as an O(n)-space, but where

$$g \cdot x = \rho(g)(gx) = g(\rho(g)x).$$

The equivalent choices of $\rho : G \to O(n)$ yield equivalent *G*-actions, so we will not belabor the difference between O(V) and O(n). We can also think of

$$X(V) = O(\mathbb{R}^n, V)_+ \wedge_{O(n)} X_n$$

with diagonal *G*-action, where *G* acts on the set $O(\mathbb{R}^n, V)$ of orthogonal maps from \mathbb{R}^n to *V* by sending τ to $g\tau g^{-1}$, which in this case is just $g\tau$ since *G* acts trivially on \mathbb{R}^n .

Note that X(V) is not a $G \times O(n)$ -space; it is instead an $O(n) \rtimes_{\rho} G$ -space, where the semidirect product is taken with respect to the action of G on O(n) where g acts by conjugating by $\rho(g)$.

Let us denote by Ev_V the evaluation functor Ev_V : G-Sp^O \rightarrow G Top_{*} that takes X to X(V). This functor should have a left adjoint F_V whose Vth space $(F_V K)(V)$ is $O(V)_+ \wedge K$; this means that if $n = \dim V$, we should have

$$(F_V K)_n = O(V, \mathbb{R}^n)_+ \wedge_{O(V)} (O(V)_+ \wedge K) = O(V, \mathbb{R}^n)_+ \wedge K.$$

In terms of the representation $\rho: G \rightarrow O(n)$ corresponding to V, we have

$$(F_V K)_n = O(n)_+ \wedge K,$$

with G-action $g(\tau, x) = (\tau \rho(g^{-1}), gx)$. This obviously commutes with O(n)-action, so gives us a $G \times O(n)$ -space.

Proposition 3.1. If V is an orthogonal n-dimensional G-representation, the functor $Ev_V: G-Sp^O \rightarrow G Top_*$ has a left adjoint F_V defined by

$$(F_V K)_{n+k} = O(n+k)_+ \wedge_{O(k) \times O(n)} (S^k \wedge (O(V, \mathbb{R}^n)_+ \wedge K)),$$

and there is a natural isomorphism

$$F_V(K) \wedge F_W(L) \cong F_{V \oplus W}(K \wedge L).$$

Proof. Let us first note that $F_V K$ is in the fact the free *S*-module on the *G*-orthogonal sequence that is $O(V, \mathbb{R}^n)_+ \wedge K$ in degree *n* and the basepoint elsewhere. Thus a map from $F_V K$ to a spectrum *X* is the same thing as a map of $G \times O(n)$ -spaces

$$\alpha: O(V, \mathbb{R}^n)_+ \wedge K \to X_n$$

The left-hand side is a free O(n)-space on K, but the G-action is twisted. Working this out gives that f is equivalent to a map

$$\beta: K \to X_n$$

such that $\beta(gk) = \rho(g)(g\beta(k))$, where $\rho: G \to O(n)$ corresponds to the representation *V*. This is then the same thing as an equivariant map $K \to \text{Ev}_V X$.

For the last part of the proposition, because $F_V(K)$ is a free *S*-module, it is enough to check that

$$O(n+m)_+ \wedge_{O(n) \times O(m)} ((O(V, \mathbb{R}^n)_+ \wedge K) \wedge (O(W, \mathbb{R}^m)_+ \wedge L))$$

$$\cong O(V \oplus W, \mathbb{R}^{n+m})_+ \wedge (K \wedge L)$$

as $G \times O(n + m)$ -spaces. We leave this to the reader.

Now by choosing a set of representations V, we can use the functors F_V and Ev_V to construct a level model structure. Note that the functor F_0 , where 0 is the only 0-dimensional representation, plays a special role as it is symmetric monoidal.

Definition 3.2. Given a set \mathcal{U} of finite-dimensional orthogonal *G*-representations, define a map *f* of *G*-spectra to be a \mathcal{U} -level equivalence (resp., \mathcal{U} -level fibration) if $\operatorname{Ev}_V f$ is a weak equivalence (resp. fibration) of based *G*-spaces for all $V \in \mathcal{U}$. Define *f* to be a \mathcal{U} cofibration if it has the left lifting property with respect to all maps that are both \mathcal{U} -level equivalences and \mathcal{U} -level fibrations.

Theorem 3.3. The \mathcal{U} -cofibrations, \mathcal{U} -level fibrations, and \mathcal{U} -level equivalences define a proper cellular topological model structure on *G*-spectra. This \mathcal{U} -level model structure is symmetric monoidal when \mathcal{U} is closed under finite direct sums.

Of course, it is usual to take \mathcal{U} to be a *G*-universe; that is, a set of representations closed under direct sums and summands that contains the one-dimensional trivial representation. At this point, it is unnecessary to put such a restriction on \mathcal{U} .

The proof of this theorem is standard, and so we only give a sketch below.

Proof. The generating cofibrations are the maps $F_V i$ for $V \in \mathcal{U}$ and for i a generating cofibration

$$(G/H \times S^{n-1})_+ \to (G/H \times D^n)_+$$

of based G-spaces. The generating trivial cofibrations are the maps $F_V j$ for j a generating trivial cofibration

$$(G/H \times D^n)_+ \rightarrow (G/H \times D^n \times D^1)_+$$

of based G-spaces. The heart of the proof that this does define a model structure is the fact that transfinite compositions of pushouts of maps of the form $F_V j$ are \mathcal{U} -level equivalences (indeed, countable composites suffice). The basic point is that the maps $Ev_W F_V j$ are in fact inclusions of G-deformation retracts, so that transfinite compositions of pushouts of them are still G-homotopy equivalences and so weak equivalences. In addition, one must also ensure that the set colimit is the same as the space colimit, which can of course go wrong for weak Hausdorff spaces. This is dealt with just as in [MM02, Theorem 2.4].

The proof that the \mathcal{U} -level model structure is left proper and cellular is analogous to the proof given in [GW18, Appendix A], which is itself distilled from the proof of the first author in [Hov01, Appendix A]. Right properness follows from the right properness of *G*-spaces.

The key to proving that the \mathcal{U} -level model structure is symmetric monoidal is the isomorphism $F_{Vi} \square F_{Wj} \cong F_{V \oplus W}(i \square j)$, where $f \square g$ is the map

 $(\operatorname{dom} f \wedge \operatorname{codom} g) \amalg_{\operatorname{dom} f \wedge \operatorname{dom} g} (\operatorname{codom} f \wedge \operatorname{dom} g) \to \operatorname{codom} f \wedge \operatorname{codom} g.$

This isomorphism follows from the last part of Proposition 3.1, and makes it clear that the \mathcal{U} -level model structure is symmetric monoidal because G Top_{*} is so.

The topological structure is similar but easier, since it is given by the symmetric monoidal functor

$$\operatorname{Top}_* \to G \operatorname{Top}_* \xrightarrow{F_0} G \operatorname{-Sp}^O$$

where the first map takes X to X with trivial G-action.

4. The stable model structure

We now want to localize the \mathcal{U} -level model structure to produce a stable model structure. For any finite-dimensional orthogonal representation V of G, S^V denotes the one-point compactification of V with fixed basepoint the point at infinity. The point of the stable model structure is to make the G-spectra F_0S^V invertible under the smash product, for $V \in \mathcal{U}$, so that we can desuspend by representation spheres in \mathcal{U} . If we want to get a symmetric monoidal result, we should assume that \mathcal{U} is closed under finite direct sums. If we also want to get a result that is stable in the usual sense of being able to desuspend by the circle, we should assume that \mathcal{U} contains the one-dimensional trivial representation. It is usual to assume that \mathcal{U} is closed under summands as well, so is a G-universe, but this is not necessary. To clarify precisely what conditions we require on \mathcal{U} , we make the following definition.

Definition 4.1. A *G*-preuniverse is a set \mathcal{U} of finite dimensional orthogonal *G*-representations that contains the one-dimensional trivial representation and is closed under finite direct sums.

Now, the obvious candidate for an inverse of S^V is $F_V S^0$, because this is S "shifted by V." However, $F_V S^0 \wedge S^V$ is $F_V S^V$, when we want it to be S. Fortunately, there is a canonical map

$$\lambda_V \colon F_V S^V \to S$$

adjoint to the identity map

$$S^V \to \operatorname{Ev}_V S = O(\mathbb{R}^n, V)_+ \wedge_{O(n)} S^n \cong S^V$$

where $n = \dim V$.

Bousfield localization [Hir03] is a general theory that starts with a (nice) model category \mathcal{M} and a set of maps and produces a new model category in which those maps are now weak equivalences, while introducing as few other new weak equivalences as possible. This new model structure exists as soon as \mathcal{M} is left proper and cellular [Hir03]. So we'd like to define the \mathcal{U} -stable model structure as the (left) symmetric monoidal Bousfield localization of the \mathcal{U} -level model structure with respect to the maps λ_V for V an irreducible representation in \mathcal{U} . The second author has such a theory of symmetric monoidal Bousfield localizations [Whi, WY18], but for completeness, we just carry it out in this special case, which is simplified by the fact that λ_V is a map between cofibrant objects. If λ_V is to be a weak equivalence in a symmetric monoidal model structure, we will need $\lambda_V \wedge A$ to be a weak equivalence as well for all cofibrant A. The cofibrant objects in the level model structure are all built out of the domains and codomains of the generating cofibrations of the level model structure. In our case, the codomains of the generating cofibrations are contractible, so we don't need them.

We therefore make the following definition.

Definition 4.2. For a compact Lie group *G* and a *G*-preuniverse \mathcal{U} , we define the \mathcal{U} -stable model structure on *G*-spectra to be the left Bousfield localization of the \mathcal{U} -level model structure with respect to the maps $\lambda_V \wedge F_W((G/H)_+ \wedge S_+^{n-1})$, where $V, W \in \mathcal{U}$, *H* is a closed subgroup of *G*, and $n \ge 0$.

Let us recall that Bousfield localization produces a new model structure on the same category with the same cofibrations. It works by first constructing the locally fibrant objects and then using them to construct the local equivalences. In our case, then, a *G*-spectrum *X* will be \mathcal{U} -stably fibrant if it is \mathcal{U} -level fibrant and the maps

$$\operatorname{Map}(\lambda_V \wedge F_W((G/H)_+ \wedge S_+^{n-1}), X)$$

are weak equivalences of topological spaces.

Note that in Hirschhorn's book [Hir03] these mapping spaces are in fact built from framings on the model category, and do not refer to topological mapping spaces. However, if the model category is simplicial, the source is cofibrant, and the target is fibrant, the mapping spaces created by framings are weakly equivalent to the simplicial mapping spaces. For simplicial model categories, then, we can use simplicial mapping spaces instead of framings to form the Bousfield localization with respect to f if f is a map of cofibrant objects. Every topological model category is also simplicial through the geometric realization functor. The simplicial mapping spaces in a topological model category are just Sing Map(X, Y), where Sing denotes the singular complex functor. But Sing preserves and reflects weak equivalences. Thus, for topological model categories, we can use topological mapping spaces to form the Bousfield localization with respect to f as long as f is a map of cofibrant objects.

The process of Bousfield localization then continues by defining a map f to be a \mathcal{U} -stable equivalence if Map(Qf, X) is a weak equivalence of topological spaces for all \mathcal{U} -stably fibrant X. Here Qf denotes any cofibrant approximation to f in the \mathcal{U} -level model structure.

That is, if $f: A \rightarrow B$, then we would have a commutative square



where A' and B' are cofibrant and the vertical maps are \mathcal{U} -level equivalences. Such an approximation is easily obtained by taking a cofibrant approximation QA to A and then factoring the composite $QA \rightarrow A \rightarrow B$ into a cofibration followed by a trivial fibration in the \mathcal{U} -level model structure. The point of making this cofibrant approximation is so we can use topological mapping spaces, as explained in the preceding paragraph.

The process of Bousfield localization concludes by defining f to be a \mathcal{U} -stable fibration if f has the right lifting property with respect to all maps that are both \mathcal{U} -level cofibrations and \mathcal{U} -stable equivalences.

Of course we expect the \mathcal{U} -stably fibrant objects to be Ω -spectra in an appropriate sense. For this to make sense, we need to note that any *G*-spectrum *X* possesses natural maps

$$S^V \wedge X(W) \to X(V \oplus W)$$

that are both *G* and $O(m) \times O(n)$ -equivariant, where $m = \dim V$ and $n = \dim W$. Indeed, remember that $S^V = S^m$ as an O(m)-space, and $X(W) = X_n$ as an O(n)-space, so these maps are just the structure maps $v_{m,n}$ of *X*. We just have to check that $v_{m,n}$ is *G*-equivariant with respect to the twisted *G*-actions. So let $\rho_1: G \to O(m)$ and $\rho_2: G \to O(n)$ denote the homomorphisms corresponding to *V* and *W*, so that the composite

$$\rho_1 \times \rho_2 \colon G \xrightarrow{(\rho_1, \rho_2)} O(m) \times O(n) \to O(m+n)$$

corresponds to $V \oplus W$. We compute:

$$v_{m,n}(g \cdot (x, y)) = v_{m,n}(\rho_1(g)gx, \rho_2(g)gy)$$

= $(\rho_1(g) \times \rho_2(g))v_{m,n}(gx, gy) = (\rho_1 \times \rho_2)(g)gv_{m,n}(x, y),$

as required.

By taking adjoints, this means that any G-spectrum X has maps

$$X(W) \rightarrow \Omega^{V} X(V \oplus W) = \operatorname{Map}(S^{V}, X(V \oplus W))$$

of *G*-spaces for all *V* and *W*. Note that *G* acts by conjugation on $\Omega^{V}X(V \oplus W)$, as usual with mapping spaces.

Definition 4.3. Given a *G*-preuniverse \mathcal{U} , a $\mathcal{U} - \Omega$ -spectrum is a *G*-spectrum *X* such that the map

$$X(W) \to \Omega^V X(V \oplus W)$$

is a weak equivalence in G Top_{*} for all $V, W \in \mathcal{U}$.

Theorem 4.4. The \mathcal{U} -stably fibrant *G*-spectra are the $\mathcal{U} - \Omega$ -spectra.

Proof. We have a series of isomorphisms

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{Map}_{G\operatorname{-Sp}^{O}}(F_{V}S^{V} \wedge F_{W}((G/H)_{+} \wedge S_{+}^{n-1}), X) &\cong \operatorname{Map}_{G\operatorname{-Sp}^{O}}(F_{V \oplus W}(S^{V} \wedge (G/H)_{+} \wedge S_{+}^{n-1}), X) \\ &\cong \operatorname{Map}_{G\operatorname{-Top}_{*}}(S^{V} \wedge (G/H)_{+} \wedge S_{+}^{n-1}, X(V \oplus W)) \\ &\cong \operatorname{Map}_{G\operatorname{-Top}_{*}}(G/H)_{+} \wedge S_{+}^{n-1}, \Omega^{V}X(V \oplus W)) \\ &\cong \operatorname{Map}_{\operatorname{-Top}_{*}}(S_{+}^{n-1}, (\Omega^{V}X(V \oplus W))^{H}). \end{split}$$

and a similar isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Map}_{G-\operatorname{Sp}^{O}}(S \wedge F_{W}((G/H)_{+} \wedge S_{+}^{n-1}), X) \cong \operatorname{Map}_{\operatorname{Top}_{*}}(S_{+}^{n-1}, (X(V))^{H}).$$

Tracing the maps through this series of isomorphisms shows that X is \mathcal{U} -stably fibrant if and only if the map

$$X(V) \to \Omega^V X(V \oplus W)$$

is a weak equivalence of G-spaces for all V and W in \mathcal{U} .

Corollary 4.5. For $V \in \mathcal{U}$, the map $\lambda_V \colon F_V S^V \to S$ is a \mathcal{U} -stable equivalence. In fact $\lambda_V \wedge F_W K$ is a \mathcal{U} -stable equivalence for all $W \in \mathcal{V}$ and all cofibrant pointed *G*-spaces *K*.

Proof. We get the first statement by taking W = 0 in Theorem 4.4. To get the general statement, we repeat the argument of Theorem 4.4 to see that

$$\operatorname{Map}_{G\operatorname{-Sp}^{O}}(\lambda_{V} \wedge F_{W}K, X)$$

is the map

$$\operatorname{Map}_{G\operatorname{Top}_{*}}(K, X(W)) \to \operatorname{Map}_{G\operatorname{Top}_{*}}(K, \Omega^{V}X(V \oplus W)).$$

If X is a \mathcal{U} -stably fibrant G-spectrum, this map is a weak equivalence since K is cofibrant.

We then have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.6. Fix a *G*-preuniverse \mathcal{U} . The category of *G*-spectra equipped with the \mathcal{U} -stable model structure is a left proper cellular topological stable symmetric monoidal model category in which the *G*-spectra F_0S^V for $V \in \mathcal{U}$ are invertible under the smash product in the homotopy category.

Proof. Bousfield localizations preserve left proper cellular model categories. To see that the \mathcal{U} -stable model structure is symmetric monoidal, we start by showing that if $W \in \mathcal{U}$ and K is a cofibrant pointed G-space, then $F_W K \wedge (-)$ is a left Quillen functor with respect to the \mathcal{U} -stable model structure. It is of course a left Quillen functor with respect to the \mathcal{U} -level model structure. The general theory of Bousfield localization then tells us that it is a left Quillen functor with respect to the \mathcal{U} -stable model structure if and only if $F_W K \wedge f$ is a \mathcal{U} -stable equivalence for all the maps $f = \lambda_V \wedge F_{W'}((G/H)_+ \wedge S_+^{n-1})$ with respect to which we are localizing. But $F_W K \wedge f$ is of the form $\lambda_V \wedge F_T(L)$ for some $T \in \mathcal{U}$ and a cofibrant L, so this follows from Corollary 4.5.

Now, since the cofibrations don't change in passing to the stable model structure, to prove that the \mathcal{U} -stable model structure is symmetric monoidal, it suffices to check that $f \square g$ is a \mathcal{U} -stable equivalence when $f: F_W K \to F_W L$ is one of the generating cofibrations of the \mathcal{U} -level model structure and $g: C \to D$ is a cofibration and a \mathcal{U} -stable equivalence. But

we have just seen that $F_W K \wedge (-)$ and $F_W L \wedge (-)$ are left Quillen functors on the stable model category. Therefore the map

$$F_W L \wedge C \to (F_W L \wedge C) \amalg_{F_W K \wedge C} (F_W K \wedge D)$$

is a \mathcal{U} -stable trivial cofibration, as a pushout of $F_W K \wedge g$. But the composite

$$F_WL \wedge C \to (F_WL \wedge C) \amalg_{F_WK \wedge C} (F_WK \wedge D) \xrightarrow{J \sqcup g} F_WL \wedge D$$

is $F_W L \wedge g$, so it too is a \mathcal{U} -stable trivial cofibration. Thus $f \square g$ must be a \mathcal{U} -stable equivalence.

The \mathcal{U} -stable model structure is topological through the same left Quillen symmetric monoidal functor $\operatorname{Top}_* \to G\operatorname{-Sp}^O$ that takes X to F_0X with the trivial G-action. The fact that

$$\lambda_V \colon F_V S^V \cong F_V S^0 \wedge F_0 S^V \to S$$

is a \mathcal{U} -stable equivalence shows that $F_V S^0$ is a smash inverse to $F_0 S^V$ (for $V \in \mathcal{U}$) in the homotopy category of the \mathcal{U} -stable model structure. In particular, we can take V to be the one-dimensional trivial representation to see that the suspension is invertible in the homotopy category, so the \mathcal{U} -stable model structure is in fact stable in the usual sense. \Box

It is natural to think that if \mathcal{U} is a *G*-preuniverse and \mathcal{U}' is the *G*-universe generated by \mathcal{U} , so just the collection of summands of \mathcal{U} , then the \mathcal{U} -stable model structure should be equivalent to the \mathcal{U}' -stable model structure. The argument for this would be that if $V \oplus W$ is in \mathcal{U} , then the map

$$S^{V} \wedge (S^{W} \wedge F_{V \oplus W}S^{0}) = S^{V \oplus W} \wedge F_{V \oplus W}S^{0} \rightarrow S$$

is a weak equivalence in \mathcal{U} -stable model structure, and so $S^{W} \wedge F_{V \oplus W} S^{0}$ is a smash inverse of S^{V} . This is wrong, though, because the left-hand side is not the derived smash product since neither factor is cofibrant in the \mathcal{U} -model structure. So we cannot say that S^{V} is invertible under smash product in the homotopy category of the \mathcal{U} -stable model structure.

5. Comparison to Mandell-May method

In this section, we compare our approach to equivariant stable homotopy theory to the approach of Mandell and May [MM02]. If we fix a universe \mathcal{U} , a $G\mathcal{U}$ -spectrum is in particular a *G*-functor from the universe, thought of as a *G*-category via $\mathcal{U}(V, W) = O(V, W)_+$ with diagonal *G*-action when dim $V = \dim W$ and * otherwise, to the category of pointed *G*-spaces. That is, such a functor has natural *G*-equivariant maps

$$O(V, W)_+ \wedge X(V) \to X(W)$$

that are associative and unital. A GU-spectrum is such a functor equipped with an associative and unital natural transformation

$$S^V \wedge X(W) \rightarrow X(V \oplus W)$$

of *G*-functors on $\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}$.

There is then an obvious forgetful functor β from $G\mathcal{U}$ -spectra to G-spectra with $(\beta X)_n = X(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Note that $(\beta X)_n$ is an $G \times O(n)$ -space through the G-map

$$O(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)_+ \wedge X(\mathbb{R}^n) \to X(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

We get G-maps

$$S^p \wedge (\beta X)_q \to (\beta X)_{p+q}$$

by restricting the structure map of X to $V = \mathbb{R}^p$. These maps are $G \times O(p) \times O(q)$ -equivariant because the structure map of X is a natural transformation of *G*-functors on $\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}$. They are associative and unital because the structure map of X is so.

Conversely, we define a functor α from G-spectra to GU-spectra by defining

$$(\alpha X)(V) = O(\mathbb{R}^n, V)_+ \wedge_{O(n)} X_n$$

where $n = \dim V$. Equivalently, we define $(\alpha X)(V) = X_n$ with group action

$$g \cdot x = \rho(g)(gx) = g(\rho(g)x)$$

where $\rho: G \to O(n)$ is the representation corresponding to V. Then αX becomes a G-functor from \mathcal{U} to pointed G-spaces, because the map

$$j_{V,W}: O(V,W)_+ \wedge X(V) \to X(W)$$

defined by $j(\tau, x) = \tau x$ for $\tau \in O(V, W) = O(n)$ and $x \in X(V) = X_n = X(W)$ is *G*-equivariant. Indeed, let $\rho_1, \rho_2: G \to O(n)$ correspond to *V* and *W*, respectively. Then we compute:

$$j_{V,W}(g(\tau, x)) = j_{V,W}(\rho_2(g)\tau\rho_1(g)^{-1}, \rho_1(g)(gx))$$

= $\rho_2(g)\tau\rho_1(g)^{-1}\rho_1(g)(gx)$
= $\rho_2(g)\tau(gx) = \rho_2(g)g(\tau x) = g \cdot j_{V,W}(\tau, x).$

A similar computation shows that the structure maps

$$\nu_{p.q}: S^p \wedge X_q \to X_{p+q}$$

are G-equivariant maps

$$S^V \wedge X(W) \to X(V \oplus W).$$

In fact, we have already done this, just before Definition 4.3. We leave the proof that the structure maps are compatible with the O(V, V') and O(W, W')-actions, so define a natural transformation of *G*-functors on $\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}$, to the reader. The associativity and unit axioms for αX follow immediately from the ones for *X*, since the structure maps are the same.

Note that the composite functor $\beta \alpha$ is the identity functor. On the other hand, if *X* is a *GU*-spectrum and dim *V* = *n*, then the *G*-map

$$X(\mathbb{R}^n, V)_+ \wedge X(\mathbb{R}^n) \to X(V)_+$$

coming from the fact that X is a G-functor, descends to an isomorphism

$$X(\mathbb{R}^n, V)_+ \wedge_{O(n)} X(\mathbb{R}^n) \to X(V)$$

and so an isomorphism $\alpha\beta X \rightarrow X$.

We have therefore proved the following proposition, also proved in [MM02, Theorem V.1.5].

Proposition 5.1. The functors α and β are adjoint equivalences of categories.

Mandell and May also show that both α and β are symmetric monoidal, and of course they commute with the functors F_V and Ev_V for $V \in \mathcal{U}$.

The following proposition is then clear.

Proposition 5.2. Let \mathcal{U} be a G-universe. With respect to the adjoint equivalences α and β , the \mathcal{U} -level model structure on G-spectra and the level model structure on $G\mathcal{U}$ -spectra coincide. That is, α and β preserve and reflect cofibrations, fibrations, and weak equivalences.

Of course, we want the stable model structures to coincide as well, and they do.

Theorem 5.3. Let \mathcal{U} be a *G*-universe. With respect to the adjoint equivalences α and β , the \mathcal{U} -stable model structure on *G*-spectra and the stable model structure on *G* \mathcal{U} -spectra coincide.

Proof. We first prove that α is a left Quillen functor. Since α is already a left Quillen functor on the \mathcal{U} -level model structure, the general theory of Bousfield localization tells us that α is a left Quillen functor on the \mathcal{U} -stable model structure if and only if $\alpha(\lambda_V \wedge F_W((G/H)_+ \wedge S_+^{n-1}))$ is a stable equivalence for all $V, W \in \mathcal{U}$, closed subgroups H and $n \geq 0$. But α is symmetric monoidal, so this is

$$\alpha(\lambda_V) \wedge \alpha(F_W((G/H)_+ \wedge S_+^{n-1})).$$

The map $\alpha(\lambda_V)$ is proved to be a stable equivalence (that is, an isomorphism on stable homotopy groups) in [MM02, Lemma III.4.5]. Since it is a stable equivalence of cofibrant objects in a symmetric monoidal model category, it remains so after smashing with any cofibrant object, such as $F_W((G/H)_+ \wedge S_+^{n-1})$. Thus α is a left Quillen functor.

It now follows that α preserves all stable equivalences. Indeed, if $f: A \to B$ is a stable equivalence, we can take a cofibrant approximation Qf to f that is level equivalent to f. More precisely, we take a level equivalence $p: QA \to A$ where QA is cofibrant, and then factor $f \circ p$ into a cofibration $Qf: QA \to QB$ followed by a level equivalence $q: QB \to B$. Then Qf is a stable equivalence between cofibrant objects. Thus $\alpha(Qf)$ is a stable equivalence. Since $\alpha(p)$ and $\alpha(q)$ are level equivalences, it follows that $\alpha(f)$ is a stable equivalence.

Of course, α and β also preserve and reflect stably fibrant objects, since these are Ω -spectra with respect to \mathcal{U} in both cases. (These are called $\Omega - G$ -spectra by Mandell and May, and Corollary III.4.10 of [MM02] identifies them as the stably fibrant objects). We now use this to show that β preserves stable equivalences whose target is stably fibrant. Indeed, suppose $f: X \to Y$ is a stable equivalence of $G\mathcal{U}$ -spectra and Y is stably fibrant. Let $j: \beta X \to Z$ be a stable trivial cofibration to a stably fibrant G-spectrum Z. Then

$$\alpha j \colon X \cong \alpha(\beta X) \to \alpha Z$$

(

is a stable trivial cofibration to the stably fibrant $G\mathcal{U}$ -spectrum αZ . Since Y is stably fibrant, we can find a lift $g: \alpha(Z) \to Y$ in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \stackrel{f}{\longrightarrow} & Y \\ \alpha j \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \alpha Z & \stackrel{}{\longrightarrow} & * \end{array}$$

such that $g \circ (\alpha j) = f$. Thus g is a stable equivalence between stably fibrant GU-spectra. By Theorem V.3.4 of [MM02], g is a level equivalence. Thus βg is a U-level equivalence, and so

$$\beta f = (\beta g) \circ (\beta \alpha j) = (\beta g) \circ j$$

is a \mathcal{U} -stable equivalence.

We can now use this to prove that β preserves arbitrary stable equivalences. Indeed, if $f: X \to Y$ is a stable equivalence, let $j: Y \to RY$ be a stable trivial cofibration to a stably fibrant $G\mathcal{U}$ -spectrum RY. Then factor $j \circ f = (Rf) \circ i$, where $i: X \to RX$ is a stable trivial cofibration and $Rf: RX \to RY$ is a stable fibration. Note that Rf is necessarily a stable equivalence. Applying β , and using the fact that β preserves stable equivalences whose target is stably fibrant, we see that βi , βj , and $\beta(Rf)$ are all stable equivalences. It follows that βf is also a stable equivalence.

Since β preserves cofibrations and stable equivalences and has right adjoint α , β is a left Quillen functor with respect to the stable model structures. It follows that α preserves fibrations. Of course, α is also a left Quillen functor, so β preserves fibrations as well, completing the proof.

Of course, since the \mathcal{U} -stable model structure coincides with the Mandell-May model structure [MM02] under the equivalences α and β , all of the properties that Mandell and May prove hold for the \mathcal{U} -stable model structure as well, and all properties we prove for the \mathcal{U} -stable model structure hold for the Mandell-May model structure. We therefore have the following corollary, which provides new properties on both the \mathcal{U} -stable model structure, and on the Mandell-May $G\mathcal{U}$ -stable model structure.

Corollary 5.4. Suppose U is a G-universe.

(1) The weak equivalences in the \mathcal{U} -stable model structure are the maps that induce isomorphisms on all stable homotopy groups $\pi_q^H(-)$ for q an integer and H a closed subgroup of G, where

$$\pi_a^H(X) = \operatorname{colim}_{V \in \mathcal{U}} \pi_q(\Omega^V X(V)^H)$$

for $q \ge 0$ and

$$\pi_q^H(X) = \operatorname{colim}_{V \in \mathcal{U}} \pi_0^H(\Omega^V X (V \oplus \mathbb{R}^q)^H)$$

for q < 0*.*

(2) The stable fibrations in the \mathcal{U} -stable model structure are the level fibrations $p: X \rightarrow Y$ such that the diagram of *G*-spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X(V) & & \longrightarrow & \Omega^W X(V \oplus W) \\ p & & & & \downarrow \Omega^{w_p} \\ Y(V) & & \longrightarrow & \Omega^W Y(V \oplus W) \end{array}$$

is an homotopy pullback for all $V, W \in \mathcal{U}$.

- (3) The \mathcal{U} -stable model structure is right proper.
- (4) *The Mandell-May (stable) model structure on GU-spectra is left proper and cellular.*
- (5) The \mathcal{U} -stable model structure satisfies the monoid axiom.
- (6) Cofibrant objects are flat in the U-stable model structure, in the sense that if X is cofibrant then X ∧ (−) preserves stable equivalences.

The last two properties are the essential properties needed for a good theory of monoids and modules over them in a model category [SS00]. For a good theory of commutative monoids as in [Whi14a], one typically needs a positive model structure, and this too is provided in [MM02], and so holds also for the \mathcal{U} -stable model structure. We mention that Stolz [Sto] also has a different positive model structure, the *positive flat* model structure [Sto, Theorem 2.3.27] (there called the positive S-model structure), analogous to the convenient positive model structure of Shipley [Shi04], where a cofibration of commutative monoids is in particular a cofibration in the underlying category with its positive model structure. The positive, flat, and positive flat model structures are simple to define in our framework (and therefore by Corollary 5.4, there is a positive flat model structure on Mandell-May $G\mathcal{U}$ -spectra), and this has been accomplished in [Whi]. We summarize:

Proposition 5.5. For any G-preuniverse \mathcal{U} , the positive, flat, and positive flat \mathcal{U} -stable model structures exist. As a consequence, when \mathcal{U} is a G-universe, there is a positive flat model structure on the category of GU-spectra (via Theorem 5.3).

We conclude by verifying one more property for our model structures (and hence for the Mandell-May model structures [MM02]). The following definition comes from [BB17]:

Definition 5.6. A map $f : X \to Y$ is called an *h*-cofibration if the functor $f_1 : X/M \to Y/M$, given by cobase change along f, preserves weak equivalences. A monoidal model category is *h*-monoidal if for each (trivial) cofibration f and each object Z, $f \otimes Z$ is a (trivial) *h*-cofibration.

Proving a model category is *h*-monoidal is an important step in transferring a model structure to categories of operad-algebras, since this often involves the analysis of pushouts of free algebra homomorphisms, which decompose into filtrations of pushouts in \mathcal{M} of maps of the form $f \otimes Z$. The fact that trivial *h*-cofibrations are closed under pushout and transfinite composition is crucial to completing such proofs that transferred model structures exist. As demonstrated in [BB17], *h*-cofibrations and *h*-monoidal model categories are also useful for establishing that categories of operad-algebras are left proper.

Proposition 5.7. For G a compact Lie group, and \mathcal{U} a G-preuniverse, the Quillen model structure on G-spaces, the \mathcal{U} -level model structure of Theorem 3.3, and the \mathcal{U} -stable model structure of Theorem 4.6, are all h-monoidal. When \mathcal{U} is a G-universe, the same holds for the level (resp. stable) model structure on $G\mathcal{U}$ -spectra of [MM02].

Proof. The *h*-monoidality of *G*-spaces and the level model structure follows from [BB17, Proposition 1.15], since both categories have all objects fibrant, and an internal hom that detects weak equivalences. The *h*-monoidality of the stable model structure follows from [Whi, Proposition 8.8], since the (co)domains of the generating cofibrations are cofibrant and compact. The property of being *h*-monoidal transfers to the Mandell-May model structures [MM02] by Theorem 5.3.

These results have already been used by the second author in establishing model structures on algebras over (colored) operads in *G*-spectra [GW18, Whi, WY18, WY]. Indeed, in [WY, Theorem 5.11], the second author proves that for any colored operad O in *G*-spaces, the category of O-algebras in Top_{*G*} admits a transferred model structure, and in [WY, Corollary 5.15], that for any colored operad O in G-Sp^O, the category of O-algebras admits a model structure transferred from the positive model structure on G-Sp^O.

We conclude with a remark about one final model categorical property: the property of being combinatorial. A model category is combinatorial when it is cofibrantly generated and locally presentable as a category. It is well-known that the category of simplicial sets is locally presentable, but the category of topological spaces is not [Hov99]. Thus, to build a combinatorial model category for *G*-spectra, one usually starts with simplicial sets. This has been worked out in [Man04], for *G* a finite group, using the language of symmetric spectra.

To work out the analogous theory for orthogonal spectra, and a general compact Lie group G, one should use the category of Δ -generated spaces, also known as numerically generated spaces. This category is locally presentable, Cartesian closed, contains all CW-complexes, and has a cofibrantly generated model structure defined in the exact same way as Top and Quillen equivalent to Top [FR08]. Unfortunately, many point-set level properties of the category of Δ -generated spaces have not been written down. The following remark summarizes work-in-progress of the second author, which can be used to build a combinatorial model structure for *G*-spectra using the framework of Theorem 4.6. A preliminary version of this work has appeared in [Whi, Section 8].

Remark 5.8. The category \mathcal{M} of Δ -generated spaces contains all compact Lie groups, and the categories of G-spaces and G-spectra built on \mathcal{M} are again locally presentable. The inclusion $\mathcal{M} \to \text{Top}$ preserves colimits, and hence unions and quotients of Δ -generated spaces are again Δ -generated. For every closed subgroup H < G, and every Δ -generated space X, the spaces of H fixed points and orbits are again Δ -generated. Furthermore, fixed point functors commute with pushouts and sequential colimits along equivariant closed embeddings, and with smash products. This is true despite the fact that closed subspaces of Δ generated spaces are not guaranteed to be Δ -generated in the subspace topology (however, it is possible to enlarge Δ to a small subcategory K such that K-generated spaces are combinatorial and closed subspaces of K-generated spaces are K-generated). Consequently, the classes of maps in Section 2 define a combinatorial model structure for G-objects in Δ -generated spaces, for any compact Lie group G. Similarly, for a G-preuniverse \mathcal{U} , the \mathcal{U} -level model structure and the \mathcal{U} -stable model structure, built on G- Δ -generated spaces, are left proper and combinatorial. There are also combinatorial and left proper injective \mathcal{U} -level and \mathcal{U} -stable model structures on G-spectra, defined analogously to the injective model structures on symmetric spectra [HSS00, Section 5.1] (see also [Whi, Lemma 8.4]). For the level model structure, the weak equivalences (resp. cofibrations) are maps f such that $Ev_V f$ is a weak equivalence (resp. cofibration) of based G- Δ -generated spaces. When \mathcal{U} is a G-universe, the injective model structures coincide with the injective model structures on $G\mathcal{U}$ -spectra of [Whi, Lemma 8.4], under the adjoint equivalences α and β .

The point of this remark is that all of our proofs work when we replace compactly generated weak Hausdorff spaces with Δ -generated spaces, once one checks certain point-set level properties of the latter. The requisite properties are true, and will be the subject of a future paper. The upshot is that the framework of the present paper allows for the theory of combinatorial model categories and presentable ∞ -categories to be brought to bear on equivariant stable homotopy theory.

References

- [BB17] Michael Batanin and Clemens Berger. Homotopy theory for algebras over polynomial monads, *Theory and Application of Categories*, Vol. 32, No. 6, 148-253, 2017.
- [BW] Michael Batanin and David White. Left Bousfield Localization and Eilenberg-Moore Categories, available as arXiv:1606.01537.
- [BH15] Andrew J. Blumberg and Michael A. Hill. Operadic multiplications in equivariant spectra, norms, and transfers. Advances in Mathematics, 285:658–708, 2015.
- [BDS] M. Brun, B. Dundas, M. Stolz, Equivariant Structure on Smash Powers, arXiv:1604.05939.
- [EM97] A.D. Elmendorf and J.P. May, Algebras over equivariant sphere spectra, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 116 (1997), no. 1-3, 139–149, Special volume on the occasion of the 60th birthday of Professor Peter J. Freyd.
- [FR08] Lisbeth Fajstrup and Jiri Rosický, A Convenient Category for Directed Homotopy, Theory and Applications of Categories, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp 7-20, 2008.
- [Fau08] Halvard Fausk, *Equivariant homotopy theory for pro-spectra*, Geom. Topol. **12**, no. 1, 103–176, 2008.
- [GW18] Javier J. Gutiérrez and David White. Encoding equivariant commutativity via operads, Algebraic & Geometric Topology, Volume 18, Number 5, pages 2919-2962, 2018.
- [HHR16] Michael A. Hill, Michael J. Hopkins, and Douglas C. Ravenel. On the nonexistence of elements of Kervaire invariant one. Annals of Mathematics, 184:1–262, 2016.
- [Hir03] Philip S. Hirschhorn, *Model categories and their localizations*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 99, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.
- [Hov99] Mark Hovey, Model categories, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.
- [Hov01] Mark Hovey. Spectra and symmetric spectra in general model categories. J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 165(1):63–127, 2001.
- [HSS00] Mark Hovey, Brooke Shipley, and Jeff Smith, *Symmetric spectra*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 13, no. 1, 149–208, 2000.
- [LMSM86] L. G. Lewis, Jr., J. P. May, M. Steinberger, and J. E. McClure, *Equivariant stable homotopy theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986, With contributions by J. E. McClure.
- [Man04] Michael A. Mandell. Equivariant Symmetric Spectra. in Proceedings of the Northwestern University Algebraic Topology Conference, *Contemporary Mathematics*, vol 346, 399-452, 2004.
- [MM02] M. A. Mandell and J. P. May, Equivariant orthogonal spectra and S-modules, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 159, no. 755, x+108, 2002.
- [MMSS01] M. A. Mandell, J. P. May, S. Schwede, and B. Shipley, *Model categories of diagram spectra*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 82, no. 2, 441–512, 2001.
- [SS00] Stefan Schwede and Brooke E. Shipley. Algebras and modules in monoidal model categories. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), 80(2):491–511, 2000.
- [Shi04] Brooke Shipley, A convenient model category for commutative ring spectra, Homotopy theory: relations with algebraic geometry, group cohomology, and algebraic K-theory, Contemp. Math., vol. 346, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, pp. 473-483, 2004.
- [Sto] Martin Stolz, Equivariant structure on smash powers of commutative ring spectra, Ph.D. thesis, University of Bergen, 2011.
- [Whi14a] David White. Model structures on commutative monoids in general model categories. arXiv:1403.6759. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, Volume 221, Issue 12, 3124-3168, 2017.
- [Whi] David White. Monoidal Bousfield localizations and algebras over operads, preprint available electronically from arxiv:1404.5197.2014.
- [Whi14] David White. Monoidal Bousfield localizations and algebras over operads. 2014. Thesis (Ph.D.)– Wesleyan University.
- [WY] David White and Donald Yau. Right Bousfield localization and operadic algebras, available as arXiv:1512.07570. 2015.
- [WY18] David White and Donald Yau. Bousfield localizations and algebras over colored operads, *Applied Categorical Structures*, 26:153-203, 2018.
- [WY19] David White and Donald Yau, Homotopical adjoint lifting theorem, *Applied Categorical Structures*, 27:385-426, 2019.

MARK HOVEY AND DAVID WHITE

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WESLEYAN UNIVERSITY, MIDDLETOWN, CT 06459

Email address: hovey@member.ams.org

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, DENISON UNIVERSITY, GRANVILLE, OH 43023 Email address: david.white@denison.edu