## Solids and Transition Metal Complexes

1. Sketch cubic, body-centered cubic and face-centered cubic unit cells. How many atoms are in each unit cell?

simple cubic
1 atom per U.C.

(3) at body center

2 atom per U.C. $b c c$

the eye.

$$
4 \text { atom per } u \cdot c \text {. }
$$

$$
f_{c c}
$$

2. Describe what is meant by intrinsic and extrinsic semiconductors. Please give an example of an intrinsic semiconductor and examples of extrinsic (doped) semiconductors of different types. Explain how and why metals and semiconductors differ in their relationship of conductivity to temperature.
An example of an intrinsic semiconductor is silicon $\left(S_{i}\right)$.
others are germanium (Ge) and gallium arsenide (GaAs).
Extrinsic semiconductors are either $p$-doped or $n$-doped.
An example of a p-doped semiconductor is silicon doped with boron. (Boron has one less $\begin{gathered}\text { valence } \\ \text { wan Silicon.) An example }\end{gathered}$
of an $n$-doped semiconductor is silicon doped with phosphorus.
(Phosphorus has one more valence $e^{-}$than silicon.)
metal's conductivity decreases as temperature is raised because increased lattice vibrations give more resistance to electron flow. Semiconductor conductivity increases as temperature is raised because higher temperature means more thermal energy to promote electrons from the valence band to the conduction band.
3. Draw a Born-Haber cycle (roughly to scale) for the formation of $\mathrm{MgBr}_{2}$. Also show how the problem is solved with a Hess's Law style summing of reactions. Determine the lattice enthalpy of $\mathrm{MgBr}_{2}\left(\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}(g)+2 \mathrm{Br}^{-}(g) \rightarrow \mathrm{MgBr}_{2}(s)\right.$ ) by both methods (which should give the same answer!).
Use the following data:

| reaction | $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}(\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| sublimation of $\mathrm{Mg}(s)$ | +148 |
| ionization of $\mathrm{Mg}(g)$ to $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}(g)$ | +2187 |
| vaporization of $\mathrm{Br}_{2}(l)$ | +31 |
| dissociation of $\mathrm{Br}_{2}(g)$ | +193 |
| electron attachment to $\mathrm{Br}(g)$ | -331 |
| formation of $\mathrm{MgBr}_{2}(s)$ | -524 |



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Try it the Hess's Law way: } \\
& \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}(\mathrm{g})+2 e^{-} \longrightarrow \mathrm{mg}(g) \\
& 2 \mathrm{Br}^{-}(\mathrm{g}) \longrightarrow 2 \mathrm{BF}_{(\mathrm{g})}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \\
& m g(5)+\mathrm{Br}_{2}(l) \rightarrow \mathrm{mgBr}_{2}(s) \\
& \mathrm{Br}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Br}_{2}(\mathrm{l}) \\
& 2 \mathrm{Br}(\mathrm{~g}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Br} 2(\mathrm{~g}) \\
& m g(g) \rightarrow M g(s) \\
& \mathrm{mg}^{2+}(\mathrm{g})+2 \mathrm{Br}_{(g)}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{MgBr}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})
\end{aligned}
$$


4. CaO is harder and has a higher melting point than KF. Both salts have the same type of structure ( NaCl structure). Explain the difference between the two salts.
$\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{O}^{2-}$ have higher charges and thus higher lattice enthalpy than do $\mathrm{K}^{+}$and F -.
5. Use geometry and the Pythagorean theorem to determine what percentage of space in a bcc unit cell is filled by the spheres. (All spheres are the same size.)

Need the relationship between edge length and sphere.
radius. The spheres do not touch along the edges but do touch along the body diagonal. Define " $a$ " as the edge length. The body diagonal is 4 r. How to get " $a$ " in terms of $r$ ? There is a right triangle within the
 cube that contains the body diagonal.


The face diagonal is in another right triangle:


So, since face diagonal is $\sqrt{2} a$, body diagonal $c a n$ be found in

$$
\text { terms of } a:\binom{\text { body }}{\text { diagonal }}^{2}=(a)^{2}+(\sqrt{2} a)^{2} \xrightarrow[\text { diag }]{\text { body }}=\sqrt{3} a
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { But it also equals } 4 r \text {, so } a=\frac{4 r}{\sqrt{3}} \text { and we can write a ratio } \\
& \text { of volume of spheres to volume of cube (remember } 2 \text { spheres) } \\
& \frac{V_{\text {spheres }}}{V_{\text {cube }}}=\frac{2 \cdot \frac{4}{3} \pi r^{3}}{\left(\frac{4}{\sqrt{3}} r\right)^{3}}=\frac{\left(\frac{8}{3} \pi\right)}{\left(\frac{4}{\sqrt{3}}\right)^{3}}=0.68 \rightarrow \begin{array}{c}
\text { so, space } \\
\text { occupied is } \\
68 \%
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

6. Gas phase $\mathrm{MnF}_{3}$ adopts a distorted trigonal planar geometry such that one $\mathrm{Mn}-\mathrm{F}$ bond is shorter than the other two ( $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ symmetry). In contrast, both $\mathrm{CrF}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{FeF}_{3}$ have trigonal planar geometry ( $\mathrm{D}_{3 \mathrm{~h}}$ geometry, all $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{F}$ lengths equal, all $\angle \mathrm{FMF}$ equal). The difference for the Mn compound is attributed to the Jahn-Teller effect. Using the d-orbital splitting diagram for trigonal coordination (shown below) explain the underlying reason that $\mathrm{MnF}_{3}$ is not trigonal planar. Draw the d-orbital splitting diagram under $C_{2 v}$ symmetry. (This problem is very similar to a suggested textbook problem. For that problem, and this one, consult the text on "Jahn-Teller effect" not covered in class.)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{CrF}_{3}, \mathrm{FeF}_{3} \text { are trigonal planar }\left(D_{3 h}\right) . m_{n} F_{3} \text { is } C_{2 v} \text {. } \\
& \text { Jahn-Teller effect states molecules will distort to remove } \\
& \text { degeneracies so that there are no unequally occupied } \\
& \text { degenerate orbitals. } \\
& m_{n}{ }^{3+} \text { is } d^{4} \text { it would fill the d-orbitals under } D_{3 h} \\
& \text { symmetry as: } \\
& \text { I- } x y, x^{2}-y^{2} \text { (This degeneracy. } \\
& \uparrow z^{2}+\hat{x}+y, y z \\
& \text { Here is } C_{2 v} \text { symmetric } m_{n} F_{3}:\{
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { For this diagram, elongation of } m_{n}-F \text { bond " } b \text { " along } x \\
& \text { axis will lower } x^{2}-y^{2} \text { one than } x y \text {. (And will lower } \\
& \text { xt but not } y z \text { ): } \\
& \text { I } x y \quad \text { wont necessarily be evenly spaced, but } \\
& \left.\begin{array}{l}
\left\{x^{2}-y^{2}\right. \\
\left\{z^{2}\right.
\end{array}\right\} \text { none will be degenerate, } \\
& \left.\begin{array}{l}
\left.+\quad \begin{array}{l}
1 \\
\uparrow \\
\uparrow \\
\uparrow
\end{array}\right\} \text { Also note that we keep the same }
\end{array}\right\} \text { no } \\
& \text { } 1 \times z \text { coordinate system as we had for the } \\
& \text { Doh trigonal planar hypothetical species. }
\end{aligned}
$$

7. Six-coordinate transition metal compounds are usually found in an octahedral geometry, but a few examples possess trigonal prismatic (or distorted trigonal prismatic) geometry. What are the factors) that favor trigonal prismatic geometry in six-coordinate metal complexes? How can one stabilize a complex in a trigonal prismatic geometry?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Sterically, the ligands are the farthest apart in octahedral. } \\
& \text { There are some examples of trigonal prismatic } \\
& \text { coordination, usually with bidentate ligands which force. } \\
& \text { an angle of }<90^{\circ} \text { : } \\
& \left.\qquad \pi^{\times}\right]^{7 l} \text { angle get } 90^{\circ}
\end{aligned}
$$

8. A pentacoordinate complex $\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{M}$ is synthesized where L is a very large ligand. Explain on steric grounds what is the favored geometry, trigonal bipyramidal or square pyramidal.

- LEm w/ L very large should be trigonal bipyramidal because that has only $390^{\circ}$-interactions. A square pyramid has $490^{\circ}$-interactions.

9. For which member of the following pairs of complexes would $\Delta_{\text {oct }}$ be larger and why?
(a) $\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]_{6}^{2+}$ or $\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}$
(b) $\quad\left[\mathrm{CrF}_{6}\right]^{3-}$
or
$\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}$
(c) $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{3}\right]^{3+} \quad$ or
$(\mathrm{en}=1,2$-diaminoethane $)$
(a) $\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{b}^{2+}$ or $\mathrm{Cr}_{6}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}^{3+}\right.$
higher oxidation state on same metal will have the ligands closer, and this will perturb the d-orbitals more.
(b)

$$
\left[\mathrm{CrF}_{6}\right]^{3-} \text { or }\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}
$$

same metal, same oxidation state, look to the spectrochemical series. Fluoride is a $\pi$-donor ligand while $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ is not. The ammine complex should have the higher $\Delta_{0}$ because $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ is higher than $F^{-}$on the spectrochemical series.
(c) $\left[\mathrm{Co}_{0}\left(\mathrm{en}_{n}\right)_{3}\right]^{3+}$ or $\left[\mathrm{Rh}\left(e_{n}\right)_{3}\right]^{3+}$

Rh(en) ${ }^{3+}$ because $\Delta_{0}$ gets bigger moving down a column. There is better overlap of $d$-orbitals with ligand orbitabs and more covalent character to the bonding

10．For the following complexes，rationalize the number of unpaired electrons observed for each complex：

| $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{CN})_{6} 6^{4-}$ | 1 unpaired electron |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}{ }^{2-}$ | 3 unpaired electrons |
| $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{CN})_{4}{ }^{2-}$ | 0 unpaired electrons |
| $\mathrm{CoCl}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ | 3 unpaired electrons |
| $\mathrm{NiBr}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ | 2 unpaired electrons |

$m_{n}(C N)_{b}^{4-}$ has I unpaired $e^{-}$，confirm：
$m_{n}{ }^{2+}$ is $d^{5}$ ．Complex is octahedral，with strong
field ligands，so L．S．$d^{5}$ ：－
サ世
$m_{n}(C N)_{b}^{2-}$ has 3 unpaired $e^{-}$，confirm：
$m_{n}{ }^{4+}$ is $d^{3}$ ．Complex is octahedral，with strong

$$
\text { field ligands, so L.S. } d^{3} \text { : }
$$

$$
\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow
$$

$\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{CN})_{y}^{2-}$ has no unpaired $e^{-}$，confirm：
$P d^{2+}$ is $d^{8}$ ：this would have unpaired $e^{-}$if if were a tetrahedral geometry：it $\uparrow \uparrow$

邯㧊

$\mathrm{NiBr}_{y}{ }^{2-}$ has 2 unpaired $e^{-} \cdot \mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ is $d^{8}$ \＆will have
2 unpaired $e^{-}$in tetrahedral geometry：H 千 千
11. Prepare a d-orbital energy level diagram for a square pyramidal complex.

12. Consider the following series of six platinum compounds.

| number | molecular formula | \# of ions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2} \cdot 4 \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ | 3 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2} \cdot 3 \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ | 2 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ | 0 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ | 0 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathrm{KPtCl}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ | 2 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{PtCl}_{4}$ | 3 |

From the molecular formulas and numbers of ions produced when each compound is dissolved in water, deduce the structural formula of each compound, being explicit as to which ligands are in the coordination sphere. Draw structures for each platinum containing molecule or ion. Note that compounds $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ are isomers (one is polar, one is non-polar). All of the compounds have the same geometry at platinum-what is that geometry?

13. The spin-only magnetic moment ( $\mu$ ) of a transition metal complex is related to the number of unpaired electrons in the complex as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu=\{\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{~N}+2)\}^{1 / 2} \mu_{\mathrm{B}} \\
\mathrm{~N}=\text { number of unpaired electrons } \\
\mu_{\mathrm{B}}=\text { Bohr magneton (a unit of measure) }
\end{gathered}
$$

The spin-only magnetic moment $(\mu)$ of $\left[\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{NCS})_{6}\right]^{4-}$ is found to be $\mu=6.06 \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$. Is $\left[\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{NCS})_{6}\right]^{4-}$ a high spin or low spin complex?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu=\sqrt{N(N+2)} \mu_{B} \quad \sqrt{5(5+2)}=\sqrt{35} \text {; just under } 6 \\
& \text { if } \mu \text { is } 6.06 \mu_{B} \text {, by trial is error, find this corresponds } \\
& \text { to } 5 \text { unpaired electrons. In } M_{n}(N C S)_{b}^{4-} \text { where it is } \\
& M_{n}{ }^{2+} \text {, a d }{ }^{5} \text { metal, it must be high spin } \\
& \text { (all } e^{- \text {-unpaired) }}
\end{aligned}
$$

14. Which of the following is the stronger acid? Which has the higher $\Delta_{0}$ ? Briefly rationalize your choices.

$$
\left[\mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+} \quad\left[\mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}
$$

$\mathrm{R}_{4}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}^{3+}$ because the higher charge on the metal more effectively polarizes the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bond of the water ligand, promoting ionization

Higher $\Delta_{0}$ of these two ions? $R u\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}^{3+}$ again. Here, the higher charge brings ligands closer leading to greater perturbation of $d$-orbitals.
15. Provide clearly drawn structures for all isomers of the following octahedral complexes:
(a) triammineaquadichlorocobalt(III) chloride (3 isomers)
(b) tris(ethylenediamine)cobalt(III) chloride (2 enantiomers)

16. The tetradentate chelating ligand $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)_{3}$ (shown below as a structure and in simplified cartoon form) was used to make some cationic five-coordinate compounds of palladium. The fifth coordination site was occupied by a Lewis basic ligand like pyridine. Abbreviating the tetradentate ligand as " $\mathrm{PP}_{3}$ " and using "L" to represent the other ligand, a general representation of the ion is: $\left[\left(\mathrm{PP}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{L})\right]^{+}$


Generally, when five-coordinate compounds are formed, two geometries are typically observed: square pyramidal and trigonal bipyramidal. Of these two, which one is more favorable on steric grounds? How can you justify your answer?
Draw sketches of how the species $\left[\left(\mathrm{PP}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{L})\right]^{+}$would look in a square pyramidal geometry and in a trigonal bipyramidal geometry. Do you see symmetry differences? Would they have a different number of ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ signals in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum? Shown below is an example ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum for one of these species. The integration of the two peaks is in about a ratio of $3: 1$. Knowing how to interpret the chemical shift position is not required. Number and relative integration of signals tells the whole story here. With this information, make an argument for one geometry over the other. Show that the NMR spectrum is consistent with one of the geometries and inconsistent with the other one.



$$
\begin{gathered}
3-\text { fold } \\
\text { rotation } \\
\text { axis; } \\
\frac{2}{3} \text { phosphorus }
\end{gathered}
$$

environments.
is
w/ NMR consistent w/ NMR data.

Not these.
both have only
mirror symmetry w/ $\frac{\sum}{\xi}$ phosphorus

